

# **EXHIBIT 1**

**REDACTED VERSION  
OF DOCUMENT  
SOUGHT TO BE SEALED**

Page 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

---oo---

WAYMO LLC,

Plaintiff,

vs.

No. 3:17-cv-00939-WHA

UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.;  
OTTOMOTTO LLC; OTTO TRUCKING,  
INC.,

Defendants.

/

WAYMO HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF DON BURNETTE  
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA  
FRIDAY, AUGUST 18, 2017

BY: ANDREA M. IGNACIO, CSR, RPR, CRR, CCRR, CLR ~  
CSR LICENSE NO. 9830  
JOB NO. 2681032  
PAGES 1 - 168

Page 53

1           Have you not worked on planner software at           10:26

2   Uber?   10:26

3       A   I haven't written any software at Uber.           10:26

4       Q   And obviously, you -- you took some steps to   10:26

5   make sure that whatever planner software you were   10:26

6   working on at Otto wouldn't use any of the           10:26

7   confidential Waymo information; right?           10:26

8       A   Yes.   10:26

9       Q   And so what -- when you were at Otto and when   10:26

10   you were writing planner software there, what -- what   10:26

11   aspects of what you had been working on at Waymo did   10:26

12   you consider to be off limits to use at Ottomoto, and   10:26

13   what portions did you consider to be something that   10:26

14   had already been known and, therefore, was something   10:26

15   you could use?   10:26

16           MS. HARTNETT: Objection.                           10:26

17           MR. LIN: Objection; form.                           10:26

18           THE WITNESS: So I don't -- like, none of the   10:26

19   code or concepts were taken from Waymo.           10:26

20           And we pretty much went to the drawing board   10:27

21   and said, How should we create this, based on what   10:27

22   we've seen in the industry, what people are -- people   10:27

23   are working on? What's in the academic research?   10:27

24           What we know about kind of good and -- good   10:27

25   and bad software practices. Like, how can we kind   10:27

Page 55

1 two levels. 10:28

2 One, it's different because we wrote it from 10:28  
3 scratch, and it's going to be different out of the 10:28  
4 fact that the chances of replicating software from 10:28  
5 scratch being the same is very unlikely. 10:28

6 And two, we decided that the -- the 10:28  
7 concept -- the fundamental concept that [REDACTED] at 10:28  
8 Waymo was built on was not something we wanted to 10:28  
9 pursue. 10:28

10 And technically, what that meant was, I 10:28  
11 previously described Waymo's [REDACTED] [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]

25 [REDACTED] [REDACTED] 10:29 10:29

1 [REDACTED] [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED] [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED] [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED] [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED] [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED] [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED] [REDACTED] 10:29

8           And thus, all the building blocks of the 10:30  
9 decisions, [REDACTED], are 10:30  
10 all completely differently formulated mathematically, 10:30  
11 and thus in code, to enable this type of trajectory 10:30  
12 to -- to be solved, in a -- in a sense, to be 10:30  
13 deter- -- to -- to be determined. 10:30

14           So -- so it's, like, kind of a fundamentally 10:30  
15 different idea that we started with at Otto than what 10:30  
16 Waymo was doing, in the sense that they're both 10:30  
17 [REDACTED]. They both output some path to follow at the 10:30  
18 end of the -- the step. 10:30

19           But the way we describe that path, and all 10:30  
20 the building blocks and machinery that go into 10:30  
21 generating the path, were different. 10:30

22       Q    What -- what language is the Otto planner 10:30  
23 written in? 10:30

24       A    C++. 10:30

25       Q    What language was the Waymo planner written 10:30

1 CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER  
2

3 I, ANDREA M. IGNACIO, hereby certify that the  
4 witness in the foregoing deposition was by me duly  
5 sworn to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing  
6 but the truth in the within-entitled cause;

7 That said deposition was taken in shorthand  
8 by me, a disinterested person, at the time and place  
9 therein stated, and that the testimony of the said  
witness was thereafter reduced to typewriting, by  
computer, under my direction and supervision;

10 That before completion of the deposition,  
11 review of the transcript [x] was [ ] was not  
12 requested. If requested, any changes made by the  
13 deponent (and provided to the reporter) during the  
period allowed are appended hereto.

14 I further certify that I am not of counsel or  
15 attorney for either or any of the parties to the said  
16 deposition, nor in any way interested in the event of  
17 this cause, and that I am not related to any of the  
18 parties thereto.

19 Dated: August 18, 2017  
20

21   
22

23 ANDREA M. IGNACIO,

24 RPR, CRR, CCRR, CLR, CSR No. 9830  
25